

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF GARLAND COUNTY, ARKANSAS
EIGHTEENTH EAST JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

JAIME PHILLIPS

PETITIONER

vs.

CASE NO. 26DR-26-51

JONATHAN RICHES

RESPONDENT

MOTION FOR CONTEMPT

Petitioner, Jaime Phillips, respectfully moves this Court to find Respondent, Jonathan Riches, in contempt for violating the No Contact Order entered by this Court on March 30, 2026, and states as follows:

I. BACKGROUND

1. On March 30, 2026, this Court entered a No Contact Order governing the conduct of both parties.
2. The Order prohibits, among other things:
 - harassment, intimidation, monitoring, or interference, whether direct or indirect;
 - conduct designed to provoke, target, or intimidate through third parties;
 - public statements referencing or undermining the other party;
 - interference with jointly owned property or personal information;
 - conduct reasonably likely to escalate conflict or fear.
3. The purpose of the Order was to prevent further escalation, intimidation, retaliation, and continued harm between the parties.
4. The mutual No Contact Order was entered following Respondent's request during proceedings before this Court that the parties enter into a mutual no-contact framework in lieu of continuation of the prior Order of Protection proceedings. Petitioner agreed to the arrangement to avoid further litigation, further trauma, and the necessity of placing Petitioner and her family through a contested hearing. Instead, the conduct described herein began prior to, and substantially escalated immediately following entry of the Order.
5. Since entry of the March 30, 2026 No Contact Order, Respondent has been formally charged in Pasco County, Florida with Battery (Domestic Violence) involving Petitioner. A copy of the Information filed by the State of Florida is attached as an exhibit.

II. RESPONDENT'S PATTERN OF VIOLATION

6. Since entry of the Order, Respondent has engaged in an escalating pattern of conduct that violates both the letter and spirit of this Court's directives.

A. Retaliatory Conduct Directed at Individuals Discussing Respondent's Domestic Violence Allegations

7. Respondent has targeted and harassed numerous individuals with no relationship to Petitioner, including journalists, commentators, livestream hosts, social media users, and other independent third parties discussing publicly available information related to the parties' legal matters and Respondent's pending domestic violence criminal matters.
8. These individuals are not affiliated with Petitioner and are not acting on Petitioner's behalf.
9. Respondent's conduct has included:
 - using litigation and court filings in his personal disputes with third parties to discuss Petitioner specifically by name, challenge and undermine Petitioner's documented abuse allegations, and publicly disseminate false claims that Petitioner's injuries were fabricated or "doctored" despite contrary law enforcement and medical findings;
 - traveling to ambush and confront a journalist for reporting and discussing the case;
 - waiting for and approaching that individual in a public airport setting;
 - engaging in threatening and provocative conduct that resulted in criminal charges against Respondent;
 - confronting individuals in public settings who ask about the domestic violence allegations involving Petitioner;
 - contacting or attempting to contact employers of individuals discussing or reporting on the case;
 - filing or threatening litigation against individuals discussing the allegations publicly;
 - using social media to publicly target, intimidate, or retaliate against critics and commentators.
10. Petitioner has also become aware of additional incidents in which Respondent contacted employers and law enforcement agencies regarding individuals publicly discussing the allegations against him, including false reports made against unrelated third parties who had merely commented online regarding the pending domestic violence matters.

11. Shortly after public reporting regarding Petitioner's allegations became widespread, Respondent confronted an independent journalist who was the first to publicly report on the allegations involving Petitioner. Petitioner is informed and believes that Respondent intentionally waited for the journalist at an airport and initiated a confrontation immediately upon the journalist's arrival. During the confrontation, Respondent accused the journalist of unrelated criminal conduct and made derogatory allegations unrelated to the subject of the reporting. Law enforcement responded to the incident, and Respondent was subsequently charged with Disorderly Conduct – Provoke Immediate Reaction in Arizona. Petitioner alleges the incident was retaliatory in nature and directly related to the journalist's reporting concerning Petitioner's allegations.
12. On or about May 30, 2026, Respondent engaged in similar conduct at a public event known as CrimeCon when a true crime journalist questioned him regarding the domestic violence allegations involving Petitioner. Rather than simply denying the allegations or declining comment, Respondent responded by attacking the individual's character, making unrelated and knowingly false accusations, mocking the individual, and escalating the encounter. The encounter required intervention by event security and resulted in Respondent being removed from the premises.
13. On or about June 9, 2026, Respondent was again publicly questioned regarding the domestic violence allegations involving Petitioner by an individual known to Petitioner from her prior professional work. Respondent began to say Petitioner's name "Magno--" before he denied knowing Petitioner at all and denied the allegations, then immediately redirected the encounter toward accusations concerning the questioner's character, personal life, and family. Respondent then physically followed the individual, publicly discussed him on social media, disseminated information relating to the individual and his family members, referenced the individual's prior employment history, and continued commentary concerning the encounter to Respondent's online audience. The questioner has now filed a petition for a restraining order against the Respondent.
14. Petitioner alleges these incidents follow the same recurring pattern: when Respondent is asked about domestic violence allegations involving Petitioner, Respondent does not simply deny the allegations or decline comment. Instead, Respondent retaliates by attacking, humiliating, doxxing, intimidating, or otherwise targeting the person who raised the subject. All of this behavior is an indirect attack on the Petitioner, which is strictly prohibited under the terms of the No Contact Order.
15. On or about March 26, 2026, while still subject to the Court's Ex Parte Order of Protection, Respondent publicly stated that he intended to "start suing some YouTubers" in order to force them to spend money defending themselves and "laugh at them" when they appeared in court.

16. These actions are retaliatory in nature and arise specifically from discussion of the domestic violence allegations and related public court proceedings involving Petitioner. Petitioner alleges these actions constitute indirect harassment, intimidation, threats, disparagement, annoyance and defamation against the Petitioner.
17. Respondent has also filed a stalking/harassment injunction action in Pasco County, Florida against an individual publicly discussing the allegations and advocating for Petitioner.
18. In filings associated with that injunction proceeding, Respondent explicitly referenced Petitioner by both her legal name and public nickname, Magnolia, and characterized the active domestic violence allegations and investigations as fabricated.
19. In the same filing, Respondent characterized a publicly circulated photograph depicting Petitioner's documented facial injuries, including a fractured nose and septum, as "fake" and "doctored."
20. Those allegations directly contradict documented law enforcement findings, medical findings, and other evidence relating to Petitioner's injuries.
21. Respondent's public efforts to characterize the underlying allegations as fabricated further contradict prior written communications sent by Respondent to Petitioner in which Respondent apologized for abusive conduct and acknowledged causing harm. Those communications were previously provided to Pasco County law enforcement in connection with the pending domestic violence criminal case against Respondent.
22. Petitioner further notes that Respondent's subsequent motion seeking additional restrictions related to public speech and commentary in that injunction proceeding was denied.
23. During proceedings associated with Respondent's Florida injunction litigation, Respondent testified under oath concerning matters directly related to the allegations and public reporting described herein.
24. Petitioner alleges portions of Respondent's testimony were contradicted by publicly available records, Respondent's own prior statements, court records, and other evidence.
25. Petitioner further alleges that Respondent used those proceedings as an additional platform through which allegations concerning Petitioner could be challenged, reframed, or publicly disseminated despite the existence of the No Contact Order entered by this Court.
26. Portions of Respondent's injunction-related filings appear designed to frame public discussion of the domestic violence allegations themselves as actionable "harassment" and "stalking."

27. Many of the incidents described herein occurred during Respondent's livestream broadcasts or were subsequently published to Respondent's substantial online audience. As a result, the conduct was not isolated or private. Rather, the conduct was amplified, monetized, and disseminated to hundreds of thousands of viewers while simultaneously targeting individuals discussing Petitioner's allegations and continuing public discussion concerning Petitioner.
28. Petitioner further became aware of a threatening written communication authored by an online commentator publicly associated with Respondent and actively involved in disseminating information and commentary regarding the parties' legal matters. In the communication, the individual stated, among other things, that Petitioner "might need another protective order" if she returned to Florida and stated there was "no place to run."
29. During the week of April 28, 2026, Respondent traveled to the State of Arkansas while publicly representing that he was conducting storm-related content activity for his YouTube platform. Given the parties' history, the pending criminal matters, and the existing Order, Respondent's presence in Arkansas caused substantial fear and distress to Petitioner. Multiple individuals independently contacted Petitioner to notify her that Respondent was in the State, further heightening Petitioner's fear and anxiety.
30. This pattern reflects an effort to intimidate, retaliate against, silence, or financially burden individuals discussing the allegations or publicly available court proceedings involving Petitioner.

B. Public Narrative Manipulation and Indirect Harassment

31. Respondent has made public statements on social media platforms reasonably understood to reference Petitioner and the underlying allegations.
32. These statements and related conduct have had the effect of:
 - undermining Petitioner's credibility;
 - provoking public hostility toward Petitioner;
 - encouraging continued third-party harassment;
 - escalating fear and distress surrounding the case.
33. Petitioner has substantially withdrawn from public participation and social media activity due to fear of escalation and continued targeting associated with Respondent's conduct and the conduct of individuals amplifying Respondent's claims.
34. Petitioner further notes that Respondent continues to publicly use and republish creative materials, graphics, branding assets, and thumbnail images originally created by Petitioner during the parties' prior professional relationship. Petitioner no longer wishes to be associated with Respondent in any capacity. Respondent's continued use of Petitioner's work product creates the appearance of an ongoing association, causes

embarrassment and distress to Petitioner, undermines Petitioner's efforts to separate herself from Respondent, and continues to commercially benefit Respondent through use of materials uniquely associated with Petitioner's creative work.

35. Petitioner further alleges that Respondent continues to monetize content utilizing Petitioner's creative work product despite Petitioner's express desire to sever all professional and personal association with Respondent.
36. Respondent's conduct constitutes indirect harassment and retaliation prohibited by the Court's Order.

C. Interference with Jointly Owned Property

37. Petitioner is co-owner of a Kia Telluride associated with a Kia Connect account for which Petitioner historically served as the primary account holder. Petitioner is listed as the primary owner of the vehicle.
38. On March 30, 2026, Petitioner received multiple security alerts indicating tampering, disruptions, and changes to the Kia Connect system requiring password changes and account reauthorization.
39. These events coincided with Respondent relocating the vehicle to another location outside Petitioner's access.
40. The circumstances reasonably indicate interference with Petitioner's access to jointly owned property and an attempt to conceal the vehicle's location while Respondent was traveling outside the State of Florida.

D. Circulation of Purported Medical Information

41. Petitioner became aware of publicly circulated screenshots purporting to reflect portions of Petitioner's medical information.
42. The materials appeared either fabricated or improperly disseminated and contributed to harassment, reputational harm, and amplification of public targeting directed at Petitioner.
43. Petitioner is unaware of any authorized public release of her medical information and did not authorize dissemination of such materials to online third parties. Petitioner further notes that medical records relating to the December 25, 2025 assault were limited to healthcare providers, law enforcement, prosecutors, and Respondent's legal counsel involved in related proceedings.

E. Monitoring and Intrusion Concerns

44. On March 30, 2026, Petitioner received security alerts relating to attempted access to her personal Baptist Health account.
45. Upon logging into the account, Petitioner discovered that account contact information had been altered and replaced with unknown information.
46. While the source of this intrusion remains under investigation, the conduct occurred in the context of Respondent's escalating pattern of intimidation, monitoring concerns, and interference.

III. PATTERN AND ESCALATION

47. The conduct described above is not isolated.
48. Rather, it reflects an ongoing and escalating pattern of:
 - retaliation against individuals discussing allegations of domestic violence and Respondent's criminal charges;
 - intimidation through litigation threats and court filings;
 - public narrative manipulation;
 - interference with property and accounts;
 - indirect harassment of Petitioner tied to the underlying domestic violence allegations.
49. Since entry of the No Contact Order, Respondent has been formally charged in Pasco County, Florida with Battery (Domestic Violence) arising from allegations involving Petitioner. Petitioner submits that this development further underscores the seriousness of the underlying conduct, giving rise to these proceedings and the continuing need for protection and enforcement of this Court's Orders.
50. Petitioner further notes that Respondent has a documented history of abusive and frivolous litigation conduct in multiple jurisdictions, including prior restrictions imposed upon Respondent's filing activities by numerous courts in the United States.
51. Petitioner submits that the conduct described herein is consistent with a broader pattern of using litigation, threats of litigation, public intimidation, and procedural mechanisms to retaliate against critics and individuals connected to personal disputes involving Respondent.
52. The conduct directly undermines the purpose of this Court's Order and demonstrates continuing disregard for the Court's authority.
53. Respondent specifically requested the mutual no-contact framework now before the Court in lieu of continuation of the prior Order of Protection proceedings. Petitioner agreed to the arrangement based upon the understanding that such an agreement would

reduce conflict and prevent escalation. Instead, the conduct described herein substantially escalated immediately following entry of the Order.

54. Respondent's conduct since entry of the Order demonstrates that the mutual framework no longer functions as an equitable de-escalation mechanism.

IV. IMPACT ON PETITIONER

55. As a result of Respondent's conduct, Petitioner has experienced:

- ongoing emotional distress;
- fear of continued escalation and retaliation;
- fear of public targeting and harassment;
- disruption to Petitioner's personal and professional life;
- withdrawal from public and social media participation;
- fear of posting publicly due to anticipated retaliation and harassment;
- substantial anxiety arising from repeated public attacks directed at journalists, commentators, and other individuals discussing Petitioner's allegations;
- fear that individuals supporting Petitioner will continue to be targeted, intimidated, or subjected to litigation;
- humiliation and distress caused by Respondent's continued public use of Petitioner's creative work, branding materials, and thumbnail designs despite Petitioner's efforts to sever all association with Respondent;
- interference with Petitioner's sense of safety and stability during ongoing chemotherapy treatment, medical recovery, and related procedures;
- disruption of Petitioner's ability to focus upon ongoing medical treatment and recovery;
- increased need for emotional support and therapeutic care;
- continued fear associated with Respondent's escalating conduct and proximity.

56. Petitioner was diagnosed with breast cancer on March 30, 2026 and is currently undergoing chemotherapy treatment and continuing medical recovery. Petitioner alleges that Respondent's continuing pattern of retaliation, public targeting, and escalation has substantially increased emotional distress during an already significant period of physical and medical hardship.

57. Although many of the incidents described herein were directed at third parties, Petitioner alleges that the underlying purpose and effect of such conduct is to punish, discredit, isolate, and retaliate against Petitioner for reporting Respondent's conduct to law enforcement. Petitioner experiences each new confrontation, lawsuit, public accusation, and campaign targeting individuals discussing the allegations as a renewed attack upon her credibility, safety, and emotional wellbeing.

V. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully requests that this Court:

1. Find Respondent in willful contempt for violating the No Contact Order entered March 30, 2026;
2. Impose sanctions sufficient to compel compliance and deter further violations;
3. Modify the existing No Contact Order so that the Order remains fully in effect against Respondent only, while removing Petitioner from reciprocal restraints contained within the current mutual framework;
4. Preserve and continue all existing provisions prohibiting:
 - direct or indirect harassment;
 - retaliation through third parties;
 - interference with accounts, personal information, or jointly owned property;
 - public statements designed to undermine or intimidate Petitioner;
 - conduct intended to retaliate against individuals discussing or reporting on the matter.
5. Further modify the Order to expressly prohibit Respondent from using litigation, third-party communications, public broadcasts, social media statements, or public filings to harass, intimidate, retaliate against, or undermine Petitioner, either directly or indirectly;
6. Further modify the Order to prohibit Respondent from using, republishing, monetizing, or otherwise exploiting Petitioner's creative work product, branding materials, thumbnail designs, or other media materials in any manner that creates the appearance of ongoing affiliation or association with Petitioner;
7. In the alternative, should the Court decline to modify the existing No Contact Order framework, Petitioner requests reinstatement of a unilateral Order of Protection containing substantially similar restrictions and protections against Respondent;
8. Grant such additional relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,



Jaime Phillips
Pro Se Petitioner

EXHIBIT LIST

Motion for Contempt Case No. 26DR-26-51

Exhibit A

March 30, 2026 No Contact Order

Exhibit B

State of Florida Misdemeanor Information charging Respondent with Battery (Domestic Violence)

Exhibit C

Respondent's March 26, 2026 social media posts stating he intended to sue YouTubers in retaliation for their reporting on his multiple criminal cases and pending charges, for the sole purpose of forcing them to spend money defending themselves in court while he laughs and "mentally stimulates" himself

Exhibit D

Records relating to Arizona airport incident, including criminal citation and supporting documentation

Exhibit E

CrimeCon incident materials, including screenshots and video links

Exhibit F

June 9, 2026 confrontation involving Jake Mantel, including screenshots, social media posts, video link

Exhibit G

Pasco County stalking/harassment injunction filings against a random YouTuber referencing Petitioner and characterizing Petitioner's injuries as fabricated or doctored

Exhibit H

Order denying Respondent's motion seeking 1st Amendment restrictions in the Florida injunction proceeding in his ongoing efforts to silence critics and undermine the Petitioner publicly

Exhibit I

Respondent's written communications to Petitioner dated November 21, 2025, in which he apologizes for abusive conduct and acknowledges harm and injuries suffered by Petitioner

Exhibit J

Threatening communication authored by Andra Griffin aka Bullhorn Betty referencing Petitioner

Exhibit K

Evidence of Respondent's continued use of Petitioner's creative work product, thumbnails, graphics, branding materials, and related monetized content

Exhibit L

Kia Connect security alerts and account activity records

Exhibit M

Baptist Health account security alerts and account alteration records

Exhibit N

Screenshots relating to circulation of purported medical information and fully formed false narratives surrounding the December 25, 2025 assault in Washington being disseminated by known associates of the Respondent on Respondent's behalf.

Exhibit O

Evidence of Respondent's presence in Arkansas during the week of April 28, 2026

EXHIBIT A

No Contact Order entered by this Court on March 30, 2026.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED Garland County Circuit Court Krislie Womble-Hughes, Circuit Clerk 2026-Mar-30 11:52:58 26DR-26-51 D41D02 : 4 Pages

**In the CIRCUIT COURT of GARLAND COUNTY, ARKANSAS
Domestic Relations Division**

Jaime T. Phillips

PETITIONER

v.

Case No. 26DR-26-51

Jonathan L. Riches

RESPONDENT

AGREED ORDER

Now on this day came on to be heard Petitioner's Petition for Order of Protection and after an examination of the Pleadings, the mutual agreement of both parties, statements of counsel, and other matters and things appearing before the Court, from all of which, the COURT DOTH FIND:

1. A No Contact Order shall be in full force and effect for a period of three (3) years, and, so long as there are no issues and no contact between the parties during said three (3) year period, the Order shall expire three (3) years from the date this Order was entered. If there are issues, or either party violates this Order, the aggrieved party may petition the Court for appropriate relief.

2. During the three (3) year period referenced above, Respondent, Jonathan L. Riches, is prohibited from initiating any contact with Petitioner, Jamie T. Phillips, including but not limited to physical presence, telephonic, electronic, oral, written, visual, or video. Respondent shall not use a third party to contact Petitioner except by legal counsel or as authorized by law or court order.

3. During the three (3) year period referenced above, Petitioner, Jamie T. Phillips, is prohibited from initiating any contact with Petitioner, Jonathan L. Riches, including but not limited to physical presence, telephonic, electronic, oral, written, visual, or video. Petitioner shall not use a third party to contact Petitioner except by legal counsel or as authorized by law or court order.

4. Each party is further ORDERED not to attempt to contact, monitor, harass, annoy, intimidate, threaten, or interfere with the other Party, either directly or indirectly.

5. Neither party shall contact, harass, annoy, threaten, intimidate, disparage, or interfere with the other party, either directly or indirectly. Neither party shall use, request, direct, encourage, or permit any third person to contact the other party, to relay messages to or from the other party, or to make disparaging, derogatory, threatening, harassing, or defamatory statements regarding the other party. Any such conduct by either party or undertaken through a third party shall be deemed a violation of this Order by the party causing, requesting, directing, encouraging, or permitting the conduct.

6. Neither party shall make any public statements that reference, undermine, or disparage the other party, but would include, but is not limited to social media posts, interviews, or third-party communications.

7. The parties are prohibited from directing, encouraging, or knowingly benefiting from any third-party conduct that targets, harasses, or defames the other party.

8. The Court further finds that the parties possess both real property and personal property which will require identification, preservation, and disposition in the course of this action. All communications, negotiations, proposals, demands, inspections, exchanges of information, and arrangements concerning any real property or personal

property of the parties shall be conducted solely through counsel for the parties. Neither party shall communicate directly with the other party concerning the sale, transfer, possession, division, use, access to, removal, concealment, encumbrance, damage to, or other disposition of any real or personal property.

9. Absent further Order of the Court or written agreement of counsel, neither party shall sell, transfer, assign, encumber, conceal, damage, destroy, remove, or otherwise dispose of any real property or personal property in which either party claims an interest, except in the ordinary course of business or for ordinary and necessary living expenses.

10. This Court maintains continuing jurisdiction over this matter and the parties hereto for the purposes of enforcing its orders. Refusal by either party to abide by the orders contained herein can and will result in the institution of contempt proceedings up to and including body attachment.

IT IS SO ORDERED this ___ day of _____, 20__.

Circuit Judge

Date: _____

Drafted by:
Jamie C. Beal
Attorney for Respondent
Victory Law Firm, LLC
221 W. 2nd St., Ste. 427
Little Rock, AR 72201

Approved by:
Angela Echols
Attorney for Petitioner





Case Title: JAIME T PHILLIPS V JONATHAN L RICHES
Case Number: 26DR-26-51
Type: ORDER NO CONTACT

So Ordered

Kara Petro

EXHIBIT B

State of Florida Misdemeanor Information charging Respondent with Battery (Domestic Violence)

2026MM001741MMAXWS

DIN: 2

IN THE COUNTY COURT FOR THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF FLORIDA IN AND FOR PASCO COUNTY

STATE OF FLORIDA

~~STATE OF FLORIDA~~

VS.

MISDEMEANOR INFORMATION

JONATHAN RICHES
W/M; DOB: 12/27/76

BATTERY, 1st M (DV)

IN THE NAME AND BY THE AUTHORITY FOR THE STATE OF FLORIDA:

BRUCE BARTLETT, State Attorney for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, in and for Pasco County, prosecuting for the State of Florida, in the said County, under oath, Information makes that:

JONATHAN RICHES


in the County of Pasco and State of Florida, on the 6th day of September, in the year of our Lord, two thousand twenty-five, did actually and intentionally touch or strike Jaime Tennille Phillips against the will of Jaime Tennille Phillips; or did intentionally cause bodily harm to Jaime Tennille Phillips; contrary to Chapter 784.03(1)/741.28, Florida Statutes, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Florida. [1B]

STATE OF FLORIDA
PASCO COUNTY


Personally appeared before me BRUCE BARTLETT, the undersigned State Attorney for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, in and for Pasco County, or his duly designated Assistant State Attorney, who being first duly sworn, says this information is filed in good faith in instituting this prosecution.

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by means of physical presence or Online notarisation this

by B.K. Does APR 27 2026, who is personally known to me and who did take an oath.


Assistant State Attorney for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of the State of Florida, Prosecuting for said State

Bar No. 94644
SW26003538 M26-00964-A TK/0325es24



Notary Avenue-Scoties, Esq., Pasco County Clerk & Comptroller 4/27/2026 3:06:00 PM



OFFICE OF THE STATE ATTORNEY
SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF FLORIDA
PASCO AND PINELLAS COUNTIES

BRUCE BARTLETT
State Attorney

JAIME TENNILLE PHILLIPS
[REDACTED]

Re: JONATHAN RICHES
Case Number M26-00964-A

Dear Ms. Phillips:

The State Attorney's Office has conducted an investigation into an incident of domestic violence involving the above-referenced individual. You are listed as a victim in that investigation. This letter is to advise you that criminal charges have been filed by this office. Pursuant to the pro-prosecution policy established by F.S. 741.2901, the State will not drop the charge even at the victim's request. We will continue to notify you at every stage of the criminal justice process while the case is pending. You may attend those hearings, or you may contact the State Attorney's Office to let the prosecutor know your opinion regarding an appropriate sentence in this case. Your input is very important so that a fair and just recommendation is made to the Court.


Please read the domestic violence literature previously provided by law enforcement in hopes of reducing some of the concerns or questions you may have at this time. The Domestic Violence Centers are:

Dade City - Sunrise Shelter (352) 521-3120
New Port Richey - Salvation Army Domestic Violence Center (727) 856-5797

The State Attorney's Office and Domestic Violence Centers are here to help you. Remember:
THERE'S NO EXCUSE FOR DOMESTIC VIOLENCE.

Kind regards,

BRUCE BARTLETT, State Attorney
Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida

By: 
Bryan Doeg
Assistant State Attorney

Dated: APR 27 2026

TK/0325es25

Post Office Box 17500, Clearwater, Florida 33762-0500 Telephone (727) 464-6221



PASCO
COUNTY

NIKKI ALVAREZ-SOWLES, ESQ.
CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT & COMPTROLLER



OCRS ONLINE COURT
RECORDS SEARCH
POWERED BY CYPRES

Search Help

Back

New Search Expand All

Case Number	Filed Date	Case Type	Status	Contested
512028MM001741MMA XWS [2026MM001741MMA XWS]	04/27/2026	Misdemeanor 34-D	OPEN	NO

Charge Seq #	Description	Date	Phase	Trial
1	BATTERY-TOUCH OR STRIKE : 1B	04/27/2026	Prosecutor:Filed	

Party Name	Party Type	Attorney	Bar ID
JUSTICE, JOSEPH	JUDGE		
RICHES, JONATHAN LEE Search This Party	DEFENDANT	DI SPIRITO, PHILIP MICHAEL	1038580

Dockets

Page : 1 10

Image	Doc #	Action Date	Description	Pages
	12	06/06/2026	Summons Returned Unserved	3
	10	06/04/2026	NOTICE	3
	11	06/02/2026	Court Event Form	1
	9	05/21/2026	Notice of Confidential Information Within Court File REVIEWED	1
	8	05/21/2026	Witness List	1
	7	05/21/2026	Answer To Demand For Discovery	2
	6	05/21/2026	Notice of Request for Costs of Prosecution	1
	5	05/11/2026	NOTICE	3
	4	05/11/2026	Summons Issued	1
	3	04/29/2026	Notice of Appearance and Written Plea of Not Guilty and Demand for Discovery DEMAND FOR COPY OF INFO WAIVER OF ARRAIGNMENT WAIVER OF SPEEDY TRIAL EMAIL DESIGNATION	2

Respondent is next due in court for domestic violence charges on July 2, 2026

EXHIBIT C

Respondent's March 23, 2026 tweet making a mockery of the domestic violence allegations against him and Petitioner's documented injuries by implying that all of this is a game to be "played."

Note – This tweet was made while the Respondent was still under the Ex Parte Order of Protection issued by this Court on or about January 21, 2026. He did not even wait for the No Contact Order to be signed off on by the judge before he began posting tweets meant to undermine Petitioner's credibility as the victim of his brutal assaults.



Respondent's March 26, 2026, now-deleted tweets stating he intended to sue YouTubers for "fun" and force them to spend money defending themselves for the purpose of "laughing at them" when they come to court. Respondent has already filed multiple harassment injunctions against journalists and social media users in retaliation for their reporting on his domestic violence criminal cases and pending criminal charges.





JLR ©

@JLRINVESTIGATES

Follow



Am when I say YouTubers I am going pro
se all the way baby. Let them pay 50k for a
lawyer or dance with me pro se.

8:36 PM · 26 Mar 26 · **18.7K** Views

8 Reposts **2** Quotes **189** Likes **8** Bookmarks

Link to deleted tweet: <https://x.com/JLRINVESTIGATES/status/2037342896588832934?s=20>

EXHIBIT D

Records relating to the Arizona airport incident, including criminal citation and supporting documentation. Respondent received inside information regarding the travel plans of independent journalist Ali Eslami aka Nerdy Addict, the individual who first reported on the public records relating to this Court's Order of Protection case, as well as the publicly available Chelan County police report related to the December 25, 2025 assault.

TUCSON AIRPORT AUTHORITY POLICE DEPARTMENT ARIZONA TRAFFIC TICKET AND COMPLAINT												
COMPLAINANT		SAME AS DR. LIC.		MILITARY		ACCIDENT		COMMERCIAL		INCIDENT NUMBER		
DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER		FLORIDA		CLASS		E		M		H N P T X O		
DEFENDANT NAME		FIRST		MIDDLE		LAST		CITY		STATE/COUNTRY		
RESIDENTIAL ADDRESS		0713 BAXLEY LN		FLAT RICHEY		FL		34668		215444-3526		
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	ORIGIN	RESTRICTIONS	DATE OF BIRTH					
M	180	5'11"	BROWN	BROWN	W		12/27/76					
VEHICLE		COLOR	YEAR	MAKE	STYLE	LICENSE PLATE	STATE/COUNTRY	EXPIRES				
REGISTERED OWNER		+SAME AS DEFENDANT		ADDRESS		VEHICLE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER						
ON		DATE (MO/DAY/YR)	TIME OF DAY	APPROX.	POSTED	MEASUREMENT DEVICE	PRIOR CONVICTION	SEALED		SEALED		
AT		DIRECTION OF TRAVEL	LOCATION							PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA		
		7250 S. TUCSON BLVD.										
THE DEFENDANT COMMITTED THE FOLLOWING:												
SECTION	ARS	VIOLATION										
A	CC	DISORDERLY CONDUCT - PANIC IMMEDIATE ACTION										
SECTION	ARS	VIOLATION										
B	CC											
SECTION	ARS	VIOLATION										
C	CC											
SECTION	ARS	VIOLATION										
D	CC											
SECTION	ARS	VIOLATION										
E	CC											
You must appear at:		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PIMA COUNTY JUSTICE COURT JP # 2		<input type="checkbox"/> PIMA COUNTY JUVENILE COURT		Court Number						
		LOCATED AT 201 N. STONE AVE		ARIZONA		1002						
At the date and time indicated:		MONTH	DAY	YEAR	TIME OF DAY							
		04	20	2026	09:40	A.M.						
CRIMINAL: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Without admitting guilt, I promise to appear as directed herein.		VICTIM?		ALL VICTIMS NOTIFIED?								
CIVIL: <input type="checkbox"/> Without admitting responsibility, I acknowledge receipt of this complaint.		Y		Y								
COMPLAINANT		OFFICER		SADLER NUMBER								
		OFFICER BENZALEZ, JC		#98								

COMPLAINT COPY

Video evidence including airport CCTV footage shows the Respondent waiting at the bottom of the escalator in the Tuscon Airport baggage claim area. When Mr. Eslami began descending down the escalator, the Respondent aggressively confronted him with his camera recording close to his face and began badgering Mr. Eslami with knowingly false allegations of child abuse and domestic violence. The Respondent doggedly pursued Mr. Eslami all around the baggage claim area of the airport, which caused a public disturbance and frightened other passengers and airport staff. Airport police intervened and the Respondent was issued a citation for Disorderly Conduct – Provoke Immediate Action. This criminal case is pending with Pima County criminal court.



@Nerdy_Addict

Subscribe



Jonathan Lee Riches, aka JLR, has new charges in Arizona and a court date after stalking me and waiting for me in baggage claim to harass me. He's pacing back and forth still with police, I'm free to go.

TAAPD
 Gonzales #98
 S Tucson Blvd, Tucson AZ
 Disorderly Conduct
 Complainant Report/

Misdemeanor
 Petty Offense
 Domestic Violence Is

<<ARREST / DETENTION STATEMENT

<input type="checkbox"/> SUSPECT CITED AND RELEASED / REFERRED ADULT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> JUVENILE <input type="checkbox"/> SUSPECT #1 Jonathan Lee Riches DOB _____ SUSPECT #2 _____ DOB _____ COURT _____ DATE: 4/28/26 TIME: 9:30 AM If a citation is issued, the accused may appear at any time prior to the date and time shown.	<input type="checkbox"/> SUSPECT IN ADULT INITIAL APPEARANCE SUSPECT #1 _____ DOB _____ SUSPECT #2 _____ DOB _____ COURT _____ DATE: _____ TIME: _____ CUSTODIAL AGENT _____ The adult suspect must appear in court for an Initial Appearance within 48 hours of arrest.
---	---

2:41 PM · Apr 2, 2026 · 93.9K Views

EXHIBIT E



Respondent approached this individual who is known to be a True Crime journalist who reports on trials and criminal cases, including the Respondent's many criminal cases. Respondent doxxed his real name to his live YouTube audience and began to hurl false accusations at him including "allegations that he beat his girlfriend" which Respondent knows do not exist. This is the Respondent's method of making a mockery of his own domestic violence charges for life-threatening assaults against the Petitioner – by making up false allegations against anyone who asks him about his own pending charges. Respondent also knowingly and falsely states that there is a "gag order." There is no gag order. There is only the mutual No Contact Order entered by this Court on March 30, 2026.

CrimeCon security stepped in and escorted Respondent away from the event for which he did not have a ticket to attend and was previously denied access to as a "journalist." Respondent continued livestreaming near the entrance of the event and Caesars Palace security eventually escorted him off the premises.

The entire incident is captured on Respondent's own livestream on his YouTube channel "JLR Investigates" beginning at timestamp 1:38:00.

● **BREAKING - WILD CRAZINESS!! CRIMECON! LAS VEGAS - LIVE**

<https://www.youtube.com/live/LFK8PIKe9ps?si=2NX2UZehK03rhJtW&t=5904>

Victim "Dali Talks" reports the incident on the YouTube channel "Excited Utterance"

JLR abuses Dali at CrimeCon - Saturday live from Vegas with Hella + Dali 8pm ET

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FbzNW0tLX28&t=2696s>

EXHIBIT F

June 9, 2026 confrontation involving Jake Mantel, including screenshots, social media posts, and related documentation. Mr. Mantel is a former co-worker of the Petitioner's when she previously worked for Project Veritas. Petitioner has not seen Mr. Mantel since 2023 and had not heard from him since sometime in 2025. Mr. Mantel was attending the trial of Karmelo Anthony in Dallas and recognized the Respondent as JLR Investigates, and as the Petitioner's ex-boyfriend as he has recently been in the news for domestic violence charges.

Mr. Mantel took the opportunity to approach the Respondent and ask for comment on the allegations he had seen in the NY Post's reporting. Respondent began to speak Petitioner's name "Magno--?" then quickly cut himself off to falsely state "No," he did not know anyone by the name of Magnolia and "No," he has not been accused of domestic violence. This is a blatant lie that is meant to undermine the Petitioner publicly to his large YouTube channel audience.

Respondent began to badger Mr. Mantel and accuse him of beating his girlfriends – the same tactic used with Mr. Eslami and Dali Talks. He also repeatedly told Mr. Mantel that he looks like he "touches kids."



After Mr. Mantel tried to disengage, the Respondent then followed him on foot, tracking him through the woods and over a creek. He then captured photos of Mr. Mantel's license plate and posted it to social media. The Respondent then efforted Mr. Mantel's personal identity and found that he previously worked at Project Veritas, the same former employer of the Petitioner. Respondent posted a tweet to imply that the Petitioner had something to do with Mr. Mantel's presence at the courthouse in Dallas. This is knowingly false and completely fabricated and is a DIRECT attack on the Petitioner on social media which is prohibited under the terms of the Mutual No Contact Order. As he intended, his minions and followers have repeated and amplified this baseless lie.

This entire interaction was captured on the Respondent's own livestream on his YouTube channel JLR Investigates and it was viewed over 300K times. Respondent then went on a social media doxxing campaign against Mr. Mantel and his entire family, including the 8-year-old daughter of his significant other.



FULL VIDEO:


<https://x.com/RealMantel/status/2064469114274353624?s=20>



Respondent repeatedly and exclusively characterizes his domestic violence criminal charges as his “personal life,” despite knowing that all of the allegations are public records. Domestic violence charges are public information. Respondent uses the “personal life” disclaimer to justify his nationwide campaign of threats, intimidation, harassment, stalking and doxxing of anyone who dares to discuss the public records related to his domestic violence criminal charges.

JLR @JLRINVESTIGATES

I just emailed the father of Jacob Henry Mantel aka Jake Mantel at his job seeking comment on the entire situation. As a journalist. His name is Scott Mantel with GoRascal. Want to know about his warrant for failing to pay child support. Thank you. I will keep everyone informed.



9:25 AM · Jun 10, 2026 · 1,001 Views

JLR @JLRINVESTIGATES

29-year-old Jacob Henry Mantel aka Jake Mantel with his girlfriend 22-year-old Kylee Moore from McKinney Texas. I'm told Jake physically abuses & cheats on Kylee. I wonder what her parents think about it? We investigate.




6:36 AM · Jun 10, 2026 · 1,104 Views

JLR @JLRINVESTIGATES · 15h

Replying to @JLRINVESTIGATES and @hotwire405

Is Jacob Henry Mantel aka Jake Mantel cheating on his girlfriend Kylee Moore? We are learning more.

facebook.com/share/1B5oT65M...



4 4 19 1.1K

JLR @JLRINVESTIGATES · Jun 9

Replying to @JLRINVESTIGATES and @hotwire405

Don't forget Benjamin Mantel. GoRascal. Did he steal money & embezzlement funds from the company? We investigate.



Benjamin Mantel

Mortgage Loan Officer at GoRascal
Suffolk University
Brooklyn, New York, United States

3 4 12 1.4K


Respondent posts evidence of his harassment and doxxing of Mr. Mantel and his entire family, including posting an unredacted photo of an 8-year-old girl.



A Texas judge has summoned Jonathan Lee Riches to appear for a June 26 hearing on a restraining order, stemming from his conduct yesterday outside the Collin County Courthouse, where he allegedly followed a man and took photos of license plates.

Even more concerning are the photos JLR posted online of a minor who had nothing to do with the incident at all.

Whatever dispute he thinks he has with an adult, dragging an unrelated child into it by posting their image publicly is reckless, unnecessary, and deeply disturbing.



3:01 PM · Jun 10, 2026 · 14.8K Views

Mr. Mantel went to the Collin County Courthouse in Texas to file a restraining order against the Respondent. A hearing date was set for June 26, 2026.




EXHIBIT G

Pasco County stalking/harassment injunction filings against a YouTuber by the name of Matthew Demauro aka Whiteboy Lockdown Radio. The Respondent uses public filings like these as a No Contact Order workaround. Respondent takes these opportunities to challenge and undermine the credibility of the Petitioner – explicitly referencing Petitioner by name and characterizing the Petitioner's injuries as fabricated or “doctored.” The Respondent is fully aware of the injuries suffered by the Petitioner. Yet, the Respondent knowingly and falsely wrote under penalty of perjury in this court filing that the photographic evidence of Petitioner’s injuries was fake and “doctored.”

DocuSign Envelope ID: 2B1B7542-6777-494B-81E9-0E8A378D07D6

GIVE TO RESPONDENT

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT,
IN AND FOR PASCO COUNTY, FLORIDA

JONATHAN RICHES

Petitioner,

and

MATTHEW DEMAURO

Respondent.

Case No.: 2026DR1843WS
Division: Q3

PETITION FOR INJUNCTION FOR PROTECTION AGAINST STALKING

I, JONATHAN RICHES, declare under penalties of perjury, that the following statements are true:

SECTION I. PETITIONER

[This section is about you. It must be completed; however, if you require that your address be confidential for safety reasons, you should complete and file a Request for Confidential Filing of Address, Florida Supreme Court Approved Family Law Form 12.980(h), and write “confidential” in the space provided on this form for your address and telephone number.]

1. Petitioner resides at the following address: (address, city, state, zip code) CONFIDENTIAL

(Indicate if applicable)

Petitioner seeks an injunction for protection on behalf of a minor child. Petitioner is the parent or legal guardian of (full legal name) _____, a minor child who is living at home.

2. Petitioner’s attorney’s name, address, and telephone number is: CLEMENTINE CONDE, ESQ., 1200 PINE AVE, CLEARWATER, FLORIDA 34706, 813.857.4886, CC@CLEMENTINECC@LAW.COM. (If you do not have an attorney, write “none.”)

SECTION II. RESPONDENT

[This section is about the person you want to be protected from. It must be completed.]

1. Respondent resides at the following address: (provide last known street address, city, state, and zip code)

3029 NE 4th St
Cape Coral Florida 33908

2. Respondent’s last known place of employment: _____
Employment address: _____
Working hours of Respondent: _____

Florida Supreme Court Approved Family Law Form 12.980(h), Petition for Injunction for Protection Against Stalking (06/24)

[CIVIL CORRESPONDENCE]

Paragon Brochure ID: 8618F643-8777-4848-8189-886A378D01D8

8. Below is a description of the specific incidents of stalking or cyberstalking. (For cyberstalking, please include a description of all evidence of contacts and/or threats made by Respondent in voice messages, texts, emails, or other electronic communications.)

On 06/04/2022 - April 2026 the following incidents of stalking occurred at the following locations: (the locations may include, but need not be limited to, a home, school, or place of employment)

- March 29th, 2026 - Matthew Demauro traveled to my house in Fort Pierce Florida, knocked on my front door & had a photo taken of himself in front of my house holding a photo of my ex girlfriend Jaime Phillips with a doctored black eye & titled "Care To Comment JLR?" Photo was posted on social media. Demauro also livestreamed to his 25,000 subscribers down the street from my home stating he knocked on my door, harassing me by falsely claiming I abuse Jaime Phillips while holding up a fake doctored photo of her with a black eye. Keep calling me out by saying Where is JLR? He was also informing everyone he interacted with there that I abuse Jaime Phillips in a campaign of harassment & stalking. Also inciting others on his livestream to come out there to join him against me. Demauro also tied a Mannequin to a tree and told his viewers it looks like me & began talking to it as if it's me.

Afterwards, Demauro made another video that same day while driving home from my house claiming he will come back to my house again, calling me derogatory words to harass & intimidate me. I face imminent danger & bodily harm.

- April 8th 2026 - Matthew Demauro posted my personal cell phone number on his X account that has thousands of followers with a Tweet title "JLR must want people to call him"
- April 3rd 2026 - Matthew Demauro posted my private medical records on his X account that has thousands of followers titled "Antisocial Personality Disorder"
- From 2022 - through April 2026 - Matthew Demauro has made over 2 dozen disturbing YouTube videos on his channel White Boy Lockdown Radio. These videos harass me, terrorize me, cyberbully me, causing me reasonable fear.

Examples:

- April 7th 2026 - he puts photo of me in a prison jumpsuit and titles a video JLR INVESTIGATES: FEEDING THE HOMELESS SCAM
- April 2nd, 2026 - He attaches my face to a thumbnail that says Doxxed Dying Women with a video titled JLR INVESTIGATES ATTACKS DYING WOMEN
- March 28th, 2026 - he attaches my face to the words BOMB THREATS with a title JLR INVESTIGATES CALLED BOMB THREATS IN ON GIRLS

- March 20th, 2026 - he puts my face on a thumbnail with a photo of me & my ex girlfriend Jaime Phillips & attaches an arrow pointing at me saying ABUSER
- 2022 - Matthew Demauro makes a AI video of himself defecating on my face followed by a woman punching me in my face & spitting on my face.

Please indicate here if you are attaching additional pages to continue these facts.

9. Additional information

Respondent owns, has, and/or is known to have guns or other weapons. Describe weapon(s) and where they may be located, if known: _____

SECTION IV. INJUNCTION (This section must be completed.)

- Petitioner asks the Court to enter a TEMPORARY INJUNCTION for protection against stalking that will be in place from now until the scheduled hearing in this matter, which will immediately restrain Respondent from committing any acts of stalking, and which will provide any terms the Court deems necessary for the protection of a victim of stalking, including any injunctions or directives to law enforcement agencies.

Florida Supreme Court Approved Family Law Form 12.980(f), Petition for Injunction for Protection Against Stalking (06/24)

Respondent attempted to modify this injunction by asking the judge to issue prior restraint against Mr. Demauro's 1st Amendment rights. This motion was, of course, denied. At the hearing for this injunction, the Respondent took the stand and told numerous falsehoods, including that he is not facing domestic violence charges. The Judge was able to see right away that was false, since he is charged with domestic violence in that very same county. The Respondent is using these legal proceedings and injunctions as a way to seed a false narrative into the public domain about the Petitioner and the assault that took place on December 25, 2026.

DIN: 15

DocuSign Envelope ID: 5307450E-BBE3-875F-8355-87BA83FEDDC3

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE PASCO JUDICIAL CIRCUIT,
IN AND FOR SIXTH COUNTY, FLORIDA

JONATHAN RICHES
Petitioner,

Case No.: 2026 DR 1843
Division: Q3

And

MATTHEW DEMAURO
Respondent,

**MOTION FOR MODIFICATION OF INJUNCTION FOR PROTECTION
AGAINST DOMESTIC VIOLENCE REPEAT VIOLENCE
 DATING VIOLENCE SEXUAL VIOLENCE STALKING**

I, [full legal name] JONATHAN RICHES, declare under penalties of perjury, that the following statements are true:

SECTION I. MOVING PARTY

(This section is about you. It must be completed. However, if you fear that disclosing your address would put you in danger because you are the victim of sexual battery, stalking, aggravated child abuse, aggravated stalking, harassment, aggravated battery, or domestic violence, you should complete and file a Request for Confidential Filing of Address, Florida Supreme Court Approved Family Law Form 12.980(h), and write "confidential" in the space provided on this form for your address and telephone number.)

- Moving Party is the Petitioner Respondent in this case.
- Moving Party currently lives at the following address: (street address) CONFIDENTIAL
(city, state, and zip code) _____
Telephone Number: (area code and number) _____
- Moving Party's attorney's name, address and telephone number is: _____
CLEMENTINE CONDE, ESQ, 1239 EWING AVE., CLERWATER, FL. 813 857 4308
(If you do not have an attorney, write "none.")

SECTION II. NEW INFORMATION

New information since the previous Injunction was issued: (If known, write the other party's new address, place of employment, physical description, vehicle, aliases or nicknames, or attorney's name.)

ON 4/9/2026, A TEMPORARY INJUNCTION WAS ISSUED FOR CYBERSTALKING. THAT THE RESPONDENT WAS SERVED WITH SAID INJUNCTION ON 4/16/2026. THE RETURN HEARING WAS SET FOR 4/17 AT 9AM. THE RESPONDENT REQUESTED A CONTINUANCE OF SAID HEARING AND THE HEARING WAS RESET TO MAY 14, 2026. SINCE RESPONDENT'S APPEARANCE IN COURT ON APRIL 17TH, HE HAS CONTINUED TO TALK ABOUT PETITIONER ON HIS YOUTUBE CHANNEL AND READING THE RESTRAINING ORDER TO HIS VIEWERS. RESPONDENT POSTS PIN COMMENTS OF HIS FOLLOWERS MOCKING AND HUMILIATING PETITIONER AND TALKING ABOUT HIS SEXUALITY. OTHER POSTS HE POSTS THREATEN MY SAFETY FROM HIS FOLLOWERS TO COME TO MY HOUSE WITH HIM. HE IS INCITING HIS FOLLOWERS TO HARASS ME ALL WHILE ASKING FOR MONEY FOR HIS LEGAL DEFENSE. HE HAS INVITED A VIEWER TO COME ON HIS CHANNEL AND TALK ABOUT PETITIONER'S MEDICAL SITUATION.

Florida Supreme Court Approved Family Law Form 12.980(j), Motion for Modification of Injunction for Protection Against Domestic, Repeat, Dating or Sexual Violence, or Stalking (06/24)

Nikki Alvarez-Sovien, Esq., Pasco County Clerk & Comptroller 5/5/2026 6:26:11 PM

SECTION III. CASE HISTORY AND REASON FOR SEEKING MODIFICATION OF INJUNCTION

1. Describe any attempts since the date of the current injunction by either Petitioner or Respondent to get an injunction for protection in this or any other court (other than the injunction you are asking to modify in this motion). _____

2. Describe any other court cases (including case numbers, if known) since the date of the current injunction between Petitioner and Respondent, including any cases involving the parties' minor child(ren), divorce, juvenile dependency, guardianship, or other civil or criminal cases. _____

3. Moving Party requests that the previously entered injunction for protection against domestic violence, repeat violence, dating violence, sexual violence, or stalking, be modified for the following **specific** reasons: *(State why you wish the injunction to be changed.)* PETITIONER HAS REPORTED THIS TO THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE, WHO HAS REFERRED THIS TO THE STATE ATTORNEY. PETITIONER IS REQUESTING, HOWEVER THAT THIS COURT MODIFY THE CURRENT INJUNCTION TO STATE THAT RESPONDENT NOT TALK ABOUT PETITIONER ON ANY SOCIAL MEDIA. RESPONDENT IS MAKING A MOCKERY OF THIS INJUNCTION AS WELL AS INCITING HIS VIEWERS AGAINST PETITIONER. PETITIONER IS GRAVELY CONCERNED FOR HIS SAFETY IN THAT HE ALREADY HAS HAD VIEWERS OF THE RESPONDENT CALL HIM AND THREATEN TO HARM HIM. ATTACHED ARE EXAMPLES OF ITEMS ON RESPONDENT'S YOU TUBE CHANEL.

Please indicate here if you are attaching additional pages to continue these facts.

SECTION IV. REQUESTED RELIEF

1. Moving Party understands that the Court will hold a hearing on this motion and that he or she must appear at the hearing.

2. Moving Party asks the Court to enter an order in this case that modifies the previously entered injunction in the following ways: *(State how you wish the injunction to be changed.)* PETITIONER REQUESTS THE INJUNCTION BE MODIFIED TO SPECIFICALLY BAR RESPONDENT FROM TALKING ABOUT PETITIONER ON HIS YOU TUBE CHANEL OR ANY SOCIAL MEDIA UNTIL THE FINAL HEARING DATE.

EXHIBIT H

DIN: 16

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR PASCO COUNTY FLORIDA

JONATHAN RICHES
Petitioner
-vs-
MATTHEW DEMAURO
Respondent


Case: 2026DR001843DRAXWS
Division: Q3

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR MODIFICATION

THIS CAUSE coming on to be heard on the 8th day of May
2026 upon the above captioned cause and the Court having
considered the Motion, it is hereupon

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Petitioner's Motion for Modification is hereby
denied.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers, New Port Richey, Pasco County, Florida this 8th
day of May, 2026.




Kimberly Sharpe Byrd, Circuit Judge
KIMBERLY SHARPE BYRD



CC: Petitioner
Respondent
Validations



Nikki Alvarez-Sowles, Esq., Pasco County Clerk & Comptroller 5/8/2026 3:11:13 PM

EXHIBIT I


Respondent's November 21, 2025 written communications to Petitioner apologizing for abusive conduct and acknowledging harm and physical injuries he inflicted on the Petitioner during their personal relationship. These communications were provided to the Pasco County State's Attorneys office in connection with the pending domestic violence criminal case against Respondent. These are included to show Respondent's own admissions of guilt, which directly contradict his public claims. He knows and acknowledges that he is, in fact, a domestic abuser, and yet he attacks anyone who refers to him by that term.






















EXHIBIT J

Threatening communication referencing Petitioner, authored by Andra Griffin aka Bullhorn Betty, a long-time known associate and lackey of Respondent. Griffin also floats the suggestion that Petitioner somehow broke her own nose and septum, despite irrefutable documentation by Chelan County Sheriffs, EMTs, doctors and medical reports.



onto everyone else.

On Sat, May 2, 2026, 7:47 AM

Bullhorn Betty Andra

<bullhornbetty@gmail.com> wrote:

I hope he sues the shit out of
magnolia!
That lying bitch!
I hope she never comes back to
Florida otherwise she might need
another protective order!
There's no place to run from me
here.
So go ahead and send this to her
because she'll never want to cross
my path.

Now quit sending this shit to me!

This is my last time telling you
before i block you!

That goes for dolly as well! He's
attached

On Thu, Apr 30, 2026 at 6:55 PM

← Reply

→ Forward



EXHIBIT K

Evidence of Respondent's continued use of Petitioner's creative work product, distinctive thumbnails, graphics, branding materials, and related monetized content. Most or all of these unique thumbnails created by the Petitioner have been re-used without her authorization and despite the Ex Parte Order of Protection and later the mutual No Contact Order – both of which explicitly prohibit the Respondent from continued harm and abuse against the Petitioner.



● TORNADO WARNING!! Coastline. STORM CHASING!! Florida. LIVE.

49K views · Streamed 4 weeks ago




● TORNADO WATCH! Coastline. STORM CHASING!! Florida. LIVE.

76K views · Streamed 1 month ago



● COURT HEARING - TYLER ROBINSON - CHARLIE KIRK ASSASSIN - UTAH - LIVE

32K views · Streamed 1 month ago




● CAR RAMS CROWD!! MASS CASUALTIES - LOS ANGELES - LIVE

69K views · Streamed 4 months ago



● BOMB THREAT - LONE STAR COLLEGE - MASS EVACUATIONS!! HOUSTON - LIVE
20K views · Streamed 4 months ago



● BREAKING - ACTIVE SHOOTER - SHOTS FIRED - MASSACHUSETTS - LIVE
40K views · Streamed 4 months ago



● BREAKING - CHAOS ERUPTS!! ICE PROTEST - LOS ANGELES - LIVE
179K views · Streamed 4 months ago



● RIOT - LOS ANGELES - LIVE
161K views · Streamed 4 months ago




● HIGH ALERT!! NATIONWIDE STRIKES - TRUMP SPEAKS - LIVE
78K views · Streamed 4 months ago




● BREAKING - CHAOS ERUPTS!! ICE PROTEST! STREET TAKOVER - LIVE
143K views · Streamed 4 months ago

EXHIBIT L



March 30, 2026

Same day the No Contact Order was issued by this Court, multiple Security Alerts from Kia Access app were received by Petitioner on her phone



March 30, 2026

On the same day the No Contact Order was issued by this Court, Kia Access app and security settings were tampered with and the vehicle was moved in an attempt to conceal the vehicle's location while Respondent was traveling outside the State of Florida.

Petitioner is listed as the Primary Owner of this vehicle. The No Contact Order explicitly forbids tampering with this vehicle or any other shared assets. Respondent admitted to tampering with the settings of the Kia Telluride during one of his own YouTube livestreams.

EXHIBIT M

Baptist Health account security alerts and account alteration records received by Petitioner via email in the middle of the night on March 30, 2026, just hours before the No Contact Order was issued by this Court and while the Respondent was still under the Ex Parte Order of Protection. Petitioner has not utilized the services of Baptist Health in many years, so there is no reason she should have received a sudden barrage of security and password alerts. This was a hacking attempt in an effort to unlawfully obtain personal medical information about the Petitioner.





EXHIBIT N

Social media users known to be lackeys and associates of the Respondent posted screenshots of Petitioner's purported medical records, despite no release ever being completed by the Petitioner. These documents circulating on social media differ from the Petitioner's own medical records she received at the time of her ER visit on December 25, 2025. These documents appear to possibly be the Law Enforcement version of the medical records which are not authorized to be accessed by anyone outside of Law Enforcement, Prosecution, medical staff and the Respondent's attorney.

Again – the date of this post is March 30, 2025, the same day the No Contact Order was entered by this Court.



She's a liar is what it all comes down to. She lied on her affidavit claiming he beat the crap out of her. This is her ER note. She only claims her nose was injured in the ER. Yet on her affidavit she claims she had suffered traumatic head and facial injuries, etc. 😞



3:16 PM · Mar 30, 2026 · 558 Views



Social media users known as lackeys and associates for the Respondent commenting on injuries sustained by the Petitioner during the assault inflicted by the Respondent on December 25, 2025, with some users even going so far as to fabricate the existence of prior nose reconstruction. These claims are outrageously and knowingly false, but are being spread around the internet by known lackeys of the Respondent regardless.

The screenshot shows a vertical thread of four tweets. The first tweet is from user 'HL @Mama2BoysXThree' dated Mar 31, with 4 replies, 1 retweet, and 282 views. The second tweet is a reply from 'HL @Mama2BoysXThree' dated Apr 2, 2026, with 10 likes and 294 views. The third tweet is from 'amanda wilson @drkeyedangel' dated Apr 3, with 2 likes and 40 views. The fourth tweet is another reply from 'HL @Mama2BoysXThree' dated Mar 31, 2026, with 73 views. Each tweet includes a profile picture, name, handle, date, text, and interaction icons (reply, retweet, like, bookmark, share).

HL @Mama2BoysXThree · Mar 31

I don't need to know her. The court documents and ER documents tell enough. She posted her "story" publicly. Sadly her ER records proved it to also be a lie. She's been caught multiple times lying by multiple people on X. Not just me. 🤔🤔

4 1 282

HL @Mama2BoysXThree

Magnolia is a liar! If she was violently beat she would've had more than a measly black eye that was already in the healing stage. No swelling anywhere else to her face.

10:39 PM · Apr 2, 2026 · 294 Views

1 10

Post your reply Reply

amanda wilson @drkeyedangel · Apr 3

She also told both the ER doctor and the EMT that it happened between 10PM and 11PM but admits she posted the pic of her in front of the fireplace at 1141PM there was no bruising nothing.

2 40

HL @Mama2BoysXThree

I would never condone DV! That's the reason why I am calling out Magnolia being a liar, and lying about her injuries. Falsifying claims about domestic violence, in any degree, is disgusting. I'm not a fan of either of them, actually! 🤔

11:21 AM · Mar 31, 2026 · 73 Views

2

Sheribail3 @Sherryb19496316 · Mar 30

There is a stream out there somewhere Hoping Jlr Has it hidden away where she is talking about her needing reconstructive surgery, NOSE BROKE ETC . before NOT FROM JLR some other dude . I do hope she is ok but this crazy mess is just childish

5 145

In this tweet, Shana Lee PNW, a habitual domestic violence apologist on social media, and a known associate and lackey of the Respondent, claims an “exclusive” was done by Respondent prior to the No Contact Order. At no time was the Respondent permitted to give “exclusives” to anyone for dissemination since he was under the Ex Parte Order of Protection issued by this Court since on or about January 21, 2026, more than two months before the No Contact Order was issued by this Court on March 30, 2026.



Shana Lee PNW ✓
@ShaLee24005741



JLR has not spoken publicly, and due to the mutual NCO, neither party can now. There does appear to have been an exclusive that took place prior to the NCO.

on-the-ground reporting on the disappearance of Nancy Guthrie.

Leaked documents, photos, and 911 calls have only told half the story—this report reveals the other side.

According to both parties' accounts, filings in multiple states, and two 911 recordings, the incident occurred just around midnight, December 25, 2025. Jonathan reported that he had been asleep when he was awakened by Jaime, also known as Magnolia, yelling. He alleges she was on top of him and physically attacking him while accusing him of infidelity after discovering pornographic material on his work phone. Jonathan states that he acted in self-defense; however, it remains unclear whether Jaime's injury resulted from being pushed, struck by an elbow, or another form of contact during the altercation. He further


phone; the call was answered by a male individual identifying himself as a police officer, at which time Jonathan hung up. At some point soon after he contacted legal counsel and reported his account of events, which were then provided to authorities.

The case currently reflects conflicting accounts from both parties. This being an open case with a protection order in place and a mutual no-contact order reportedly pending, it is unlikely that

Much of the information held by Chelan County authorities is considered sensitive. Under Washington State law, certain materials—such as sensitive photographs, statements, and other evidence—may not be publicly released due to the domestic violence designation associated with the case.

7:55 PM · Apr 2, 2026 · 151 Views





This false narrative is a completely fabricated fairy tale of events that is directly at odds with Law Enforcement findings. There are no “conflicting accounts” of the assault on December 25, 2025 – there is only the official police record based on observations by the Chelan County Sheriff’s Deputies. The Respondent fled the scene of this crime immediately and never spoke to police. Respondent later fled the state of Washington and drove cross-country back to Florida to avoid being arrested at the Seattle Airport.

EXHIBIT O

Evidence of Respondent's presence in Arkansas during the week of April 28, 2026.

JLR @JLRINVESTIGATES · Apr 28
Tornado in Prescott Arkansas.



18 14 240 15K


JLR @JLRINVESTIGATES · Apr 28
Replying to @JLRINVESTIGATES
Tornado just passed through here. Prescott Arkansas.



3 4 77 4.1K

JLR @JLRINVESTIGATES

Good morning. I am with @tedinvestigate in Cape Girardeau Missouri. Going to Arkansas to storm chase. Cheers!



8:59 AM · Apr 28, 2026 · 17.8K Views

JLR @JLRINVESTIGATES

Storm chasing. Western Arkansas.



6:52 PM · Apr 28, 2026 · 6,549 Views